

How about cracking down on the news and entertainment shows that constantly aired the Janet Jackson clip in the weeks following the Super Bowl? 1/4 of the people wouldn't even know it happened or wouldn't care. Most people didn't even see the actual event, or if they did, made comments like, "was that her boob, I couldn't tell." If there wasn't such a media circus in this country no one would care about "the flashing," but instead you have people who didn't even watch the Super Bowl, complaining about it. I personally could care less. How come you can turn on Baywatch and see everything but the nipple, but as soon as you show nipple with the other part of the breast covered, its this huge deal. The FCC shouldn't be concerned with this crap...it's a waste of money. If CBS lets Al-queda broadcast message to all the terrorist cells in the US, then fine them. Why waste your time with "indecent" when it's all going to change in 20 years anyway? People 20 years from now will laugh at what we consider "indecent" now. If people from the 1920's saw the way women dress now, they'd think every female is a whore. But people now do not, because times change. I'm not saying you should allow hard-core porn on network TV, but I'd rather see my tax dollars go to something with a better cause. The ratings system on television was a good idea because it gives people a choice. It may not be the solution, but it's going in the right direction. This whole indecency wave of taking off radio jocks eliminates people's choice.